

D-MODULES ON DEMAILY-SEMPLER BUNDLES AND THE GREEN-GRIFFITHS-LANG CONJECTURE

MOHAMMAD REZA RAHMATI

ABSTRACT. We generalize some of the concepts of D -module theory over the inductive prolongation of the tangent bundle of a projective variety namely Demaily-Semple tower. Specifically we study the characteristic variety of inductive D -modules on these bundles. We also generalize a result of J. Merker following an idea by Y. T. Siu on global generation for vertical sections of the twisted jet-bundles toward the Green-Griffiths-Lang (GGL) conjecture. Our result together with the works of J. P. Demaily and Y. T. Siu proves the GGL-conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Demaily-Semple tower associated to a pair (X, V) where X is a complex projective manifold (probably singular) and V is a holomorphic subbundle of T_X the tangent bundle of X of rank r , is a prolongation sequence of projective bundles

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow X_k = P(V_{k-1}) \rightarrow X_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1$$

obtained inductively making X_k a weighted projective bundle over X . The sequence provides a tool to study the locus of nonconstant holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that $f'(t) \in V$. It is a conjecture due to Green-Griffiths-Lang that the total image of all these curves is included in a proper subvariety of X ; if X is of general type. It is a basic fact by J. Semple that the map f can be lifted to maps $f_k : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_k$.

Another way to look at the above prolongation sequence is to consider the vector bundles $E_{k,m}$ of germs of weighted homogeneous polynomials along the fibers in $X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X$. These polynomials can be definitely considered in the germs of indeterminates

$$(2) \quad z = f, \quad \xi^{(1)} = f', \quad \dots, \quad \xi^{(k)} = f^{(k)}$$

modulo actions of the groups of local automorphisms of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ (denoted by $G_k = G'_k \rtimes \mathbb{C}^*$) and the manifold X by composition on both sides with f . One may also consider the sheaf of these polynomials without invariance under the Lie

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G35.

Key words and phrases. D-modules, Characteristic Variety, Demaily-Semple bundle, Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture, Entire Curve Locus, Hyperbolic Manifolds.

group actions but only to consider the invariance with respect to the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. In the latter case we denote these sheaves as $E_{k,m}^{GG}$. Historically the bundles $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ was first introduced by Green and Griffiths in [GG]. Later Demailly and others developed this theory and gave the definitions and basic properties of $E_{k,m}$. There is a well defined differential operator induced by formal differentiation over polynomials $P(f, f', \dots, f^{(k)}) \in \Gamma(X, E_{k,m}^{GG})$;

$$(3) \quad (.)' = \delta : E_{k,m}^{GG} \rightarrow E_{k+1,m+1}^{GG}; \quad \delta = \xi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_i \xi_{i+1} \nabla_{\xi_i}$$

The vector bundles $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ are naturally D_{X_k} -modules. A major idea due to J. Demailly employs holomorphic Morse inequalities to provide estimates for the growth of the rank of cohomologies $H^q(X, E_{k,m}^{GG} \otimes A^{-1})$ when A is ample line bundle on X . For instance an estimate can be as follows

$$(4) \quad h^0(X, E \otimes A^p) \geq h^0(X, E \otimes A^p) - h^1(X, E \otimes A^p) \geq r \frac{k^n}{n!} \int_{X(A,h,\leq 1)} \Theta_{A,h}^n - o(k^n)$$

for any vector bundle E , and h a metric on A , for A to be big. Θ_h denotes the curvature of the metric h . The subindex in the integral is on the open set where h has index ≤ 1 as a symmetric matrix. The inequality provide the desired information as soon as the integral is positive. Lets set

$$(5) \quad GG_k(X, V) = \bigcap_{m>0} (\text{base locus of } \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* A^{-1})$$

where $GG(X, V) = \bigcap_k GG_k$. Then the entire curve locus satisfies

$$(6) \quad ECL(X, V) = \bigcup_f \overline{f(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{Zar}} \subset GG(X, V)$$

The point of view in this paper is to practice sufficient tools from D -module theory and their characteristic varieties to perform the above task. Briefly we mix the ideas in the two articles [LS] and [D]. A D -module M on a manifold X is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -sheaf with an action of a flat connection ∇ whose covariant derivatives provides different directional (partial) derivatives. D -modules interact closely with local systems and perverse sheaves. In fact there is a one to one correspondence between these two concepts namely the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, when the D -module is regular. The concept of support for a D_X -module is called characteristic variety. The characteristic variety of a D_X -module M , denoted $car(M)$ is a tool to study singularities of the system of differential equations associated to a D -module. It is a subvariety of the cotangent bundle of X and briefly it is a measure of how far the

D_X -module is far from being a flat connection. More precisely, if M is D_X -module with a good filtration F with respect to the degree filtration of D_X , is

$$(7) \quad \text{car}(M) = \text{zero set of } \sqrt{\text{ann}_{\text{gr}_{\deg} D_X} \text{gr}_F M}$$

It is a theorem of Bernstein that $\text{car}(M)$ does not depend of the choice of the good filtration F . Characteristic variety is a kind of support for the D_X -module M . However this support is getting measured in the cotangent bundle T^*X .

Organization of the text: Section 1 is an introduction to the concepts we discuss. We introduce the Green-Griffiths bundles and also D -modules on them. In Section 2 we give the definitions and basic facts on Demailly-Semple tower over projective varieties. Basic theorems and results of [D] is briefly reviewed in this part. Section 3 contains a short review of the work by Y. Laurent and P. Shapira on inductive D -modules. We introduce the V -filtration of a D_X -module along subvarieties of X . We generalize the results of Section 3 in Section 4, into Semple towers, and present a D -module theory on Demailly-Semple construction. A generalization of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to inductive local systems and D -modules is explained at the end of this section. Section 5 is a brief of Demailly work on Griffiths-Lang Conjecture, and basic questions that the author had with him. These questions may be regarded as conjectures by the author motivated in personal discussions. I have encountered my understandings from the discussions and if any mistake should be refereed to the author. In Section 6 we generalize the M. Saito vanishing theorem [SA] in order to develop our questions and give a proof of the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture.

2. DEMAILY-SEMPLER BUNDLE

Let X be a complex projective variety and V a holomorphic subbundle of the tangent bundle T_X . Define

$$(8) \quad X_1 = \mathbf{P}V, \quad V_1 = \mathbf{P}\tilde{V}$$

where

$$(9) \quad \tilde{V} \subset T_{X_1} : \tilde{V}_{(x,v)} := \{\xi \in T_{X_1(x,v)} \mid \pi_* \xi \in \mathbb{C}v\}$$

and $\pi : X_1 \rightarrow X$ is the bundle projection and π_* is its differential. The pair (X_1, V_1) fits in the exact sequence

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_{X/X_1} \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $T_X X_1$ is the relative tangent bundle. Inductively we obtains

$$(11) \quad \dots \rightarrow X_k \xrightarrow{\pi_{k-1}^*} X_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_0^*} X$$

The prolongation sequence (9) plays an important role in study the locus of non-constant maps $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that f' takes values in V . It is a basic fact that f can be lifted to $f_k : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_k$ inductively, for each k . For instance in a local coordinates (z, ξ) one may take

$$(12) \quad f_1 \cong (f_1, \dots, f_n; \frac{f'_1}{f'_r}, \dots, \frac{f'_{r-1}}{f'_r})$$

The other components of f' satisfy the ordinary differential equations

$$(13) \quad f'_j = \sum_{k=1}^r a_{jk}(f) f'_k, \quad j > r$$

Lets set $\pi_{jk} = \pi_{j+1} \circ \pi_{j-1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_k$ and $D_k = \mathbf{P}(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}}) \subset V_k$. Then D_k is a divisor in X_k and we have

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) = \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_k)$$

This process can be repeated inductively and one has

$$(15) \quad \pi_{kl}^* \mathcal{O}_{X_l}(1) = \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\pi_{l+1,k}^* D_{l+1} + \dots + D_k), \quad k > l$$

We define the regular locus of V_k as

$$(16) \quad V_k^{\text{reg}} = \bigcap_{2 \leq j \leq k} \pi_{jk}^{-1}(V_j \setminus D_j), \quad V_k^{\text{sing}} = \bigcap_{2 \leq j \leq k} \pi_{jk}^{-1}(D_j)$$

There exists another way to reach to the above setting that is to consider the vector bundle

$$(17) \quad E_{k,m}^{GG} V^* \rightarrow X$$

whose fibers are polynomials $Q(z; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$ of weighted degree m , where z is a local variable on z and ξ_i may be thought as a variable on the fibers of $X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$. One can identify $E_{k,m}^{GG} V^*$ with the bundle of germs of k -jets of the analytic maps $f : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (X, x)$ by

$$(18) \quad f(t) = x + t f'(0) + \dots + \frac{t^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(0) + O(t^{k+1}) = x + t \xi_1 + \dots + t^k \xi_k + \dots$$

denoted as $J_k V$ (the equation 18 is given in a local chart of X). The equation (16) implies that the weights attached to $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \dots$ (dual variables to $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(k)}$) should be $1, 2, \dots, k, \dots$ respectively and the total weighted degree of a homogeneous germ is defined as

$$(19) \quad Q(z, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(z) \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + k\alpha_k$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the space of germs of holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ according to the above weights. Then

$$(20) \quad E_{k,m}^{GG} V^* = J_k V / \mathbb{C}^*$$

The symbol V^* used in $E_{k,m}^{GG} V^*$ is only a notation reminding the duality appearing in the fact that $S^* V = \text{Sym}^{\otimes} V$ can be understood as polynomial algebra on a basis of V^* (here V is a vector space). The analytic structure on $E_{k,m}^{GG} V^*$ comes from the fact that, one can arrange the germs to depend holomorphically on their initial values at 0.

One can define a decreasing filtration on $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ by

$$(21) \quad F^p E_{k,m}^{GG} = \{ Q(z, \xi) \mid Q \text{ homogeneous of weighted degree } m \geq p \}$$

Then we may set

$$(22) \quad E_{k,\bullet}^{GG} V^* = \bigoplus_m E_{k,m}^{GG} V^*, \quad X_k^{GG} := \text{Proj}(E_{k,\bullet}^{GG} V^*) = \text{Proj}(gr_F^{\bullet} E_{k,\bullet}^{GG} V^*)$$

Let G_k be the group of local automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ at 0. Then simple calculation shows that the quotient $J_k V / G_k$ is well defined. After the Green-Griffiths bundles $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ one can consider their invariant analogue under the action of G_k which are denoted by $E_{k,m}$.

Theorem 2.1. [D] *The quotient $J_k V / G_k$ has the structure of a locally trivial bundle over X and the*

$$(23) \quad J_k V / G_k \hookrightarrow X_k, \quad f \mapsto f_k(0)$$

identifies $J_k V / G_k \cong X_k$. The direct image $(\pi_{0k})_* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \cong \mathcal{O}(E_{k,m})$. Moreover,

$$(24) \quad V_k^{sing} = | \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) |, \quad m > 0$$

3. CHARACTERISTIC VARIETY OF INDUCTIVE SYSTEMS

The content of this section is a brief from [LS]. In the next section we generalize the results to a multiple case. Let X be a smooth complex manifold, and Y be its submanifold with the ideal sheaf I_Y . Set $\Lambda = T_Y X$ = the relative tangent bundle of Y in X , also called the normal bundle of Y in X with projection $\lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow Y$. It sits in the short exact sequence

$$(25) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_Y \rightarrow i^* T_X \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 0, \quad i : Y \hookrightarrow X$$

Define the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{[\Lambda]} \subset \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ by

$$(26) \quad \lambda_* \mathcal{O}_{[\Lambda]} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I_Y^k / I_Y^{k+1}$$

It is the subsheaf of polynomial sections on fibers of λ . Let D_X be the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators on X and $D_X|_Y$ be the restriction. Define the filtration of D_X along Y as

$$(27) \quad F_Y^p D_X = \{ P \in D_X|_Y ; P \cdot I_Y^l \subset I_Y^{l-p}, \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

and denote the graded D -module by $gr_Y D_X$. It acts on $\bigoplus_k I_Y^k / I_Y^{k+1}$. Taking a simple coordination of Y in X shows that

$$(28) \quad gr_Y D_X = gr_{F_Y} D_X = \lambda_* D_{[\Lambda]}$$

The local symbol of the differential operator $P \in D_X|_Y$ is defined by the map

$$(29) \quad \hat{\sigma}(\cdot) : D_X|_Y \rightarrow gr_Y D_X \cong \lambda_* D_{[\Lambda]}$$

Let e_Λ be the Euler vector field over the fibers of Λ . Define

$$(30) \quad D_{[\Lambda]}(k) = \{ P \in D_{[\Lambda]} \mid [e_\Lambda, P] = -kP \}$$

Then $D_{[\Lambda]} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{[\Lambda]}(k)$.

If M is a coherent D_X -module and with a good $F_Y D_X$ -filtration, namely $F_Y M$, then $gr_Y M$ is a coherent left $gr_Y D_X$ -module and the characteristic variety of M along Y is defined by

$$(31) \quad \hat{C}_\Lambda M := \text{car}(D_\Lambda \otimes_{D_{[\Lambda]}} \lambda^{-1} gr_Y M)$$

Let $D_{Y \rightarrow X} = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} D_X$ and set

$$(32) \quad M_Y^\bullet = D_{Y \rightarrow X} \otimes_{D_X}^{\mathbb{L}} M, \quad M_Y^k = \text{Tor}_k^{D_X}(D_{Y \rightarrow X}, M)$$

In general the D_Y -modules M_Y^k are not coherent, but a union of an increasing sequence of coherent D_Y -modules. Any D_X -module M has a (local) finite free resolution of the form

$$(33) \quad 0 \rightarrow D_X^{n_p} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D_X^{n_1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Then by tensoring with $D_{Y \rightarrow X}$ one obtains a sequence whose homologies define M_Y^k . By the additive property of the characteristic variety it is easy to see that

$$(34) \quad \text{car}(M_Y^\bullet) = \bigcup_k \text{car}(M_Y^k)$$

Theorem 3.1. [LS] *If M is a coherent D_X -module, then*

$$(35) \quad \text{car}(M_Y) \subset T^*Y \cap \hat{C}_\Lambda(M)$$

Let $D_{\Lambda|Y}$ be the ring of relative differential operators of Λ , that is the ring generated by $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ and the vertical vectors Λ (that kill λ^{-1}). Set

$$(36) \quad D_{[\Lambda|Y]} = D_\Lambda \cap D_{\Lambda|Y}$$

Definition 3.2. *A D_X -module M is called elliptic along a subvariety Y if there exists a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $M_0 \subset M$ that generates M as D_X -module and also a differential operator b over X such that*

- $\hat{\sigma}(b) \in D_{[\Lambda|Y]}(0)$
- $b.M_0 \subset (F_Y^{-1}D_X)M_0$
- in a suitable local coordinates (y, t) defining Y ; we have $\sigma(\hat{\sigma}(b))(y, t, \bar{t}) \neq 0$.

We have the following fact;

Theorem 3.3. [LS] *Assume M is a coherent D_X -module which is elliptic along Y . Then, the D_Y -modules M_Y^k are coherent for all k .*

4. D -MODULES OVER DEMAILY-SEMPLER BUNDLES AND CHARACTERISTIC LOCUS

In this part we apply the machinery of Section 3 to the construction of Demailly-Semple bundles by an inductive argument which gives new interesting results. We still keep the assumption that X is smooth in this section. According to the description in section 1, we have a sequence of inclusions

$$(37) \quad X \hookrightarrow X_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow X_k$$

obtained from the zero sections along the prolongation (9). Let

$$(38) \quad \lambda_k : \Lambda_k = T_X X_k \rightarrow X, \quad \lambda_k = \lambda_{k,k-1} \circ \dots \circ \lambda_{1,0}$$

defined inductively the same as Section 3, and $\mathcal{O}_{[\Lambda_k]}$ to the sections in $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_k}$ along the fibers. Then

$$(39) \quad (\lambda_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{[\Lambda_k]} = \left(\bigoplus_{p_k} \frac{I_{X_{k-1}, X_k}^{p_k}}{I_{X_{k-1}, X_k}^{p_k+1}} \right) \dots \left(\bigoplus_{p_1} \frac{I_{X_1, X}^{p_1}}{I_{X_1, X}^{p_1+1}} \right)$$

Let $D_{[\Lambda_k]}$ be the submodule of D_{Λ_k} of operators with coefficient in $\mathcal{O}_{[\Lambda_k]}$. Then we can consider the filtrations $F_{X_{j-1}}^p D_{X_j}$ for each j and we set

$$(40) \quad F_{0,k-1}^{p_k, \dots, p_1} D_{X_k} = \{P \in D_{X_k} \mid_X; P \cdot I_{X_{j-1}, X_j}^l \subset I_{X_{j-1}, X_j}^{l-p_j}\},$$

where I_{X_{j-1}, X_j} is the ideal sheaf of X_{j-1} in X_j . We order the couples $(p_k, \dots, p_1)$ lexicographic. Define the local symbol of an operator $P \in D_{X_k} \mid_X$ along this prolongation by

$$(41) \quad \hat{\sigma}_{0,k-1} : D_{X_k} \mid_X \longrightarrow gr_{F_{0,k-1}}^\bullet D_{X_k}$$

Lemma 4.1. *There exists an isomorphism of graded modules*

$$(42) \quad gr_{F_{0,k-1}}^\bullet D_{X_k} \cong (\lambda_k)_* D_{[\Lambda_k]}$$

Proof. The proof is a basic induction, the first step being its analogue in Section 3. The induction step follows from the fact that $F_{0,k-1} = F_X F_{X_1} \dots F_{X_{k-1}} D_{X_k}$ regarding the definition (24). $\square$

If M is a D_{X_k} -module with a good $F_{0,k-1} D_{X_k}$ -filtration namely $F_{0,k-1} M$, we denote by

$$(43) \quad \hat{C}_{\Lambda_k} M := \text{car}(D_{\Lambda_k} \otimes_{D_{[\Lambda_k]}} \lambda_k^{-1} gr_{F_{0,k-1}} M)$$

A more critical lemma to be proved is,

Lemma 4.2. *The definition of $\hat{C}_{\Lambda_k} M$ is independent of the choice of the $F_{0,k-1} D_{X_k}$ -filtration for M .*

Proof. The proof follows from the similar argument in the previous section by the compatibility with the definition of $\hat{C}_\Lambda M$. More specifically set

$$(44) \quad \Lambda_{j,j-1} = T_{X_{j-1}} X_j, \quad \lambda_{j,j-1} : \Lambda_{j,j-1} \rightarrow X_{j-1}$$

Then one has a well defined

$$(45) \quad \hat{C}_{\Lambda_{j,j-1}} M = \text{car}(D_{\Lambda_{j,j-1}} \otimes_{D_{[\Lambda_{j,j-1}]}} \lambda_{j,j-1}^{-1} \text{gr}_{F_{j-1,j}} M)$$

A filtration $F_{0,k-1} M$ is good iff all the filtrations $F_{j-1,j} M$ are good. Then the theorem follows from the identity

$$(46) \quad D_{\Lambda_k} \otimes_{D_{[\Lambda_k]}} \lambda_k^{-1} \text{gr}_{F_{0,k-1}} M = \bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq k} D_{\Lambda_{j,j-1}} \otimes_{D_{[\Lambda_{j,j-1}]}} \lambda_{j,j-1}^{-1} \text{gr}_{F_{j-1,j}} M$$

where can be proved by induction on k . □

Lets define inductively

$$(47) \quad D_{0 \rightarrow k} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}} D_{X_1} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_k}} D_{X_k}$$

Assume M is a left D_{X_k} -module and similar to section 3, set

$$(48) \quad M_X^\bullet = D_{0 \rightarrow k} \otimes_{D_{X_k}}^{\mathbb{L}} M, \quad M_X^k = \text{Tor}_k^{D_{X_k}}(D_{0 \rightarrow k-1}, M)$$

Then lemma 4.2 and the induction applied to the argument in section 3, shows that M_X^k are still locally a union of increasing system of D_X -modules. Again the additive property of characteristic varieties followed by simple induction shows

$$(49) \quad \text{car}(M_X^\bullet) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{car}(M_X^j)$$

Theorem 4.3. *If M is a coherent D_{X_k} -module, then*

$$(50) \quad \text{car}(M_X^\bullet) = T^* X \bigcap \hat{C}_{\Lambda_k} M$$

Proof. The proof follows from (44), the argument above and the Theorem 3.1. □

As a generalization of ellipticity condition, the D_{X_k} -module M is called elliptic along the prolongation $(X, X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})$ if there exists a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$ -module $M_0 \subset M$ that generates M as D_{X_k} -module and also a differential operator b over X_k such that

- $\hat{\sigma}_{0,k-1}(b) \in D_{[\Lambda_k|X]}(0)$
- $b.M_0 \subset (F_{0,k-1}^{-1} D_X) M_0$

- in a suitable local coordinates $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k)$ defining $(X, X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})$; we have $\sigma(\hat{\sigma}_{0,j-1}(b))(\xi_j^1, \overline{\xi_j^1}) \neq 0$ where $\xi_j = (\xi_j^1, \overline{\xi_j^1})$.

Theorem 4.4. *If M be a coherent D_{X_k} -module which is elliptic along the Demailly-Semple prolongation, then the D_X -modules M_X^k are coherent for all k .*

Proof. The proof is an induction by Theorem 3.3. $\square$

The operator δ defined by

$$(51) \quad (\cdot)' = \delta : E_{k,m}^{GG} \rightarrow E_{k+1,m+1}^{GG}; \quad \delta = \xi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_i \xi_{i+1} \nabla_{\xi_i}$$

induces a derivation of X_k which has the local symbol

$$(52) \quad \hat{\sigma}_{0,k-1}(\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{i+1} \eta_i$$

It is a trivial check up that this operator satisfies the aforementioned conditions of ellipticity. Thus we have showed that the modules $E_{k,\bullet}^{GG}$ are elliptic along fibers of the jet bundle. Moreover if we define the increasing filtration

$$(53) \quad W_k E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_{i,\bullet}^{GG}, \quad E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG} = \bigcup E_{k,\bullet}^{GG}$$

Then the filtration induced from F^p in (19) on $Gr_k^W E_{\bullet,\bullet}$ defines a Hodge like filtration except that is infinite. The operator δ as mentioned satisfies a Griffiths transversality

$$(54) \quad \delta F^p Gr_k^W E_{\bullet,\bullet} \subset F^{p+1} Gr_k^W E_{\bullet,\bullet}$$

The 4-tuple $(E_{\bullet,\bullet}, W, F, \delta)$ is an inductive D -module. In analogy with Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for mixed Hodge modules one expects the existence of inductive local systems $\mathcal{H}_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG}$ on X satisfying certain functoriality axioms.

Theorem 4.5. *(Riemann-Hilbert correspondence) Let X be a complex algebraic manifold.*

- *The de Rham functor*

$$(55) \quad DR_X : D_{rh}^b(D_X) \rightarrow D_{Perv}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$$

is an equivalence of categories. Under this equivalence the regular holonomic D_X -modules correspond exactly to the category of $\mathbb{C}$ -perverse complexes, i.e. the cohomology of a regular holonomic complex $M^\bullet$ is concentrated in degree 0 if and only if $DR_X M^\bullet$ is perverse.

- Under the de Rham equivalence the Verdier duality operator ${}^V\mathbb{D}_X$ and the duality operator $\mathbb{D}_X$ correspond in the sense,

$$(56) \quad DR_X \circ \mathbb{D}_X = {}^V\mathbb{D}_X \circ DR_X$$

In particular, the solution complex of a holonomic complex is Verdier dual to its de Rham complex.

- The aforementioned correspondence is functorial in the sense that the following diagrams are commutative

$$(57) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_{rh}^b(D_Y) & \xrightarrow{DR_Y} & D_{Perv}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y) \\ f^! \downarrow & & \downarrow f^! \\ D_{rh}^b(D_X) & \xrightarrow{DR_X} & D_{Perv}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_{rh}^b(D_X) & \xrightarrow{DR_X} & D_{Perv}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \\ f_+ \downarrow & & \downarrow Rf_* \\ D_{rh}^b(D_Y) & \xrightarrow{DR_Y} & D_{Perv}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y) \end{array}$$

We want to explain the generalization of RH-correspondence in the inductive case. Assume we have $M = \lim M_i$, $H = \lim H_i$ be an inductive D -module and an inductive perverse sheaf on X . Assume also that

$$(58) \quad DR_X(M_i) = H_i$$

via Theorem 4.5. Then it is natural to extend the de Rham functor by setting

$$(59) \quad DR_X(M = \lim M_i) \longmapsto (H = \lim H_i)$$

This extends the RH-correspondence to inductive sheaves and preserves also the functoriality axioms. By this generalization the Green-Griffiths bundle is an inductive D_X -module underlying the inductive MHM $(E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG}, F^\bullet, W_\bullet, \mathcal{H}_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG}, \nabla = \delta)$.

5. GREEN-GRIFFITHS-LANG (GGL)-CONJECTURE ON THE CURVE LOCUS

In [D] Demaily presents a strategy which proves the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture. The precise statement of the conjecture is

Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture: Let (X, V) be a pair where X is a projective variety, and V is a holomorphic subbundle of the tangent bundle T_X . Then there should exist an algebraic subvariety $Y \subsetneq X$ such that every nonconstant entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ tangent to V is contained in Y .

This conjecture connects many other conjectures in Kahler geometry (see the reference). Let $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ is the Green-Griffiths bundle of germs of weighted homogeneous

polynomials along the fibers in the Semple tower. A basic observation due to Demailly about the Green-Griffiths bundles is the following vanishing criteria;

$$(60) \quad H^q(X, E_{k,m}^{GG} \otimes A^{-1}) = 0, \quad q > 0, \quad m \gg k \gg 0$$

where A should be ample. In fact the asymptotic result will hold even with A arbitrary hermitian bundle.

Theorem 5.1. (*J. P. Demailly*) [D] *Any section of $P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{GG} \otimes A^{-1}) = H^0(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* A^{-1})$ with A being an ample line bundle on X , satisfies an algebraic differential equation*

$$(61) \quad P(f, f', \dots, f^{(k)}) = 0$$

Demailly uses holomorphic Morse inequalities to prove:

Theorem 5.2. [D] *Lets (X, V) be a directed projective variety such that K_V is big, and let A be an ample divisor. Then for $k \gg 1$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ small enough, and $\delta \leq c(\log k)/k$, the number of sections $h^0(X, E_{k,m}^{GG} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-m\delta A))$ has maximal growth, i.e is larger than $c_k m^{n+kr-1}$ for some $m \geq m_k$, where $c, c_k > 0, n = \dim(X), r = \text{rank}(V)$. In particular entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfy many algebraic differential equations.*

The precise statement of holomorphic Morse inequalities is

Theorem 5.3. (*Holomorphic Morse inequalities-J. P. Demailly*) *If $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact complex manifold X , and (L, h) a hermitian line bundle, then*

$$(62) \quad \sum_{0 \leq j \leq q} (-1)^{q-j} h^j(X, E \otimes L^k) \leq r \frac{k^n}{n!} \int_{X(L, h, \leq q)} (-1)^q \Theta_{L, h}^n + o(k^n)$$

where Θ denotes the curvature of the appropriate metric.

This is the first step toward the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture. The next stage which in general still open is the possibility to apply differential operators acting on P above to obtain a non-zero equation on the manifold X .

Lets briefly describe the strategy in [D]. In order to calculate the volume of the curvature he fixes a metric on X_k , i.e on the k -truncations (15) which at the fixed point $z \in X$ uses a holomorphic orthonormal basis. The result is a metric which in the vertical direction is Fubini-Study type metric on the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1^{[r]}, \dots, k^{[r]})$. Then perturbation of the metric in the horizontal direction (along X) is measured by the curvature according to standard facts in Hermitian geometry. The result is the k -jet metric on non-invariant jet bundle of Green-Griffiths

$$(63) \quad |(z; \xi)| = \left(\sum_s \epsilon_s \|\xi_s\|_h^{2p/s} \right)^{1/p}$$

Here p should be chosen as a multiple of $lcm(1, 2, \dots, k)$, and $1 \gg \epsilon_1 \gg \epsilon_2 \gg \dots \gg \epsilon_k > 0$ are scaled according to a partition of unity on X such that as $\epsilon_s/\epsilon_{s-1} \rightarrow 0$ the metric converges to

$$(64) \quad |(z; \xi)| = \left(\sum_s \|\xi_s\|_h^{2p/s} \right)^{1/p}$$

where

$$(65) \quad \|\xi_s\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} |\xi_{s\alpha}|^2 + \sum_{ij\alpha\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} z_i \bar{z}_j \xi_{s\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{s\beta}$$

That is the Fubini-Study metric with its curvature in level s of the jet bundle. Denote the second term in (62) by Θ_s^{FS} . Therefore

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} \log |(z, \xi)| &= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\sum_s (\|\xi_s\|^2 + \Theta_s^{FS})^{p/s} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\sum_s \|\xi_s\|^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Theta_s^{FS}}{\|\xi_s\|^2} \right)^{p/s} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\sum_s \|\xi_s\|^2 \left(1 + \frac{p}{s} \cdot \frac{\Theta_s^{FS}}{\|\xi_s\|^2} + \dots \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\sum_s \|\xi_s\|^2 + \left(\sum_s \|\xi_s\|^2 \right) \frac{p}{s} \cdot \frac{\Theta_s^{FS}}{\|\xi_s\|^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now use the identity $\log(f + g) = \log f + g/f - \dots$ and apply the operator $\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial}$ to get

$$(67) \quad \Theta = w_{a,r,p}(\xi) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_s \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\xi_s|^{2p/s}}{\sum_t |\xi_t|^{2p/t}} \sum c_{ij\alpha\beta} \frac{\xi_{s\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{s\beta}}{|\xi_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j + \dots$$

Then we need to look at the integral

$$(68) \quad \int_{X_{k,q}} \Theta^{n+k(r-1)} = \frac{(n+kr-1)!}{n!(kr-1)!} \int_X \int_{P(1^r, \dots, k^r)} w_{a,r,p}^{kr-1}(\eta) 1_{\gamma_{k,q}}(z, \eta) \gamma_k(z, \eta)^n$$

where

$$(69) \quad \gamma_k(z, \eta) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\eta_s|^{2p/s}}{\sum_t |\eta_t|^{2p/t}} \sum c_{ij\lambda\mu} \frac{\eta_{s\alpha} \bar{\eta}_{s\beta}}{|\eta_s|^2} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

The equation (65) gives two integrals one in the vertical direction which is a universal number depending only to the weighted projective space above, and the second the volume of the curvature. His estimates produces sections of

$$(70) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* \left(\frac{m}{kr} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right) F \right), \quad m \gg k \gg 1$$

where F is arbitrary. Then $F = A^{-1}$ with A ample works for our purpose. He divides his estimation into a probabilistic and an non-probabilistic one, with the former being in a more general settings. A basic question that may helps toward GGL-conjecture is

Question: Assume X is a projective variety and B an ample line bundle on X . Then in the Demaily-Semple prolongation

$$(71) \quad H^0(X_k, D_{X_k/X}(m') \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* B) \neq 0, \quad m' \gg m \gg k$$

The fact is if this holds then the global sections of the twisted D -module will act on the sections in Demaily's theorem (5.2). Arranging m', m, k suitably one can produce equations of the form

$$(72) \quad Q(f) = 0$$

which proves the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture.

To complete the proof in [D] one needs a similar procedure applied to (68). By the analogy between microlocal differential operators and formal polynomials on the symmetric tensor algebra it suffices to show

$$(73) \quad H^0(X_k, Sym^{\leq m'} \tilde{V}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* B) \neq 0, \quad m' \gg m \gg k$$

where $\tilde{V}_k$ is the in-homogenized V_k as acting as differential operators in first order. We also wish to work over the Demaily-Semple bundle of invariant jet truncations (15). In order to produce an invariant metric on X_k with respect to the action of the both Lie groups of local automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ and the manifold X a candidate is

$$(74) \quad |\xi| = \sum_{s=1}^k \epsilon_s \left(\sum_{\alpha} |P_{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{p/w(P_{\alpha})})^{1/p}$$

where P_{α} runs over a set of generators of the algebra $R_k = \bigoplus_m E_{k,m} V^*$. Along this one also needs to check out if this algebra is finitely generated (see [BK] for details of a discussion on this).

In [M] J. Merker proves the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture for a generic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. He proves for $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d as a generic member in the universal family

$$(75) \quad \mathfrak{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+1+d}{(n+1)!d!}-1}$$

parametrizing all such hypersurfaces, the GGL-conjecture holds. His method uses a theorem of Y. T. Siu, as the following.

Theorem 5.4. (*Y. T. Siu (2004) [S], [D]*) *Let X be a general hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ as explained above. Then, there are two constants $c_n \geq 1$ and $c'_n \geq 1$ such that the twisted tangent bundle*

$$(76) \quad T_{J_{\text{vert}}^n(\mathfrak{X})} \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(c_n) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+1+d}{(n+1)!d!}-1}}(c'_n)$$

is generated at every point by its global sections.

The proof by Merker, the above Theorem is established outside a certain exceptional algebraic subset $\Sigma \subset J_{\text{vert}}^n(\mathfrak{X})$ defined by vanishing of certain Wronskians. In order to give a similar proof of GGL conjecture for general X one may use the following generalization.

Question: If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a generic member of a family $\mathfrak{X}$ of projective varieties, then there are constants c_n and c'_n such that

$$(77) \quad T_{J_{\text{vert}}^n(\mathfrak{X})} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_k}(c_n) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* L^{c'_n}$$

is generated at every point by its global sections, where L is an ample line bundle on $\mathfrak{X}$.

6. FORMALISM OF VANISHING THEOREMS

In this section we generalize several vanishing theorems on local systems and mixed Hodge modules to inductive objects, i.e. objects defined as inductive limits of local systems or MHM's. In fact there are many sorts of vanishing theorems in algebraic geometry. Along our strategy toward the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture, we purpose to generalize the Artin-Grothendieck vanishing, and the M. Saito vanishing theorems to inductive perverse sheaves and mixed Hodge modules respectively.

Theorem 6.1. (*Artin-Grothendieck vanishing Theorem*) [L] *Let U be an affine variety of dimension n , and let H be a constructible sheaf on U . Then*

$$(78) \quad H^i(U, H) = 0, \quad i > n$$

The theorem also holds for an inductive constructable sheaf $H = \lim H_j$. This follows from the well known identity

$$(79) \quad \lim_j H^i(U, H_j) = H^i(U, \lim_j H_j)$$

The Artin-Grothendieck vanishing plays an important role in the proof of the M. Saito vanishing theorem.

Theorem 6.2. (*M. Saito Vanishing Theorem*) [SA], [P] *Let X be a complex projective variety, and L an ample line bundle on X . Consider an inter $m > 0$ such that $L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample and gives an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$. Let M, F be a filtered D -module underlying a mixed Hodge module on $\mathbb{P}^N$ with support contained in X , then*

- *$Gr_k^F DR_{\mathbb{P}^N} M$ is an object in $D^b(X)$ for each k , independet of the embedding of X in $\mathbb{P}^N$.*
- *We have the hypercohomology vanishing*

$$(80) \quad H^i(X, Gr_k^F DR_{\mathbb{P}^N} M \otimes L) = 0, \quad i > 0$$

and

$$(81) \quad H^i(X, Gr_k^F DR_{\mathbb{P}^N} M \otimes L^{-1}) = 0, \quad i < 0$$

The Theorem 6.2 also extends over inductive MHM's, using the generalization of Riemann-Hilbert correspondence described at the end of Section 4 and a similar formula as (77). A consequence of Theorem 6.2 is the following.

Corollary 6.3. [P] *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n , and (M, F) a filtered D_X -module underlying a mixed Hodge module. Then for any ample and globally generated line bundle L on X , the sheaf $S(M) \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is globally generated.*

The corollary follows from

$$(82) \quad H^i(X, F \otimes L^{\otimes -i}) = 0, \quad i > 0$$

where $F = S(M) \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)}$, and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity theorem (definition). Therefore the corollary can also been extended to case when M is an inductive MHM. As a conclusion this will also apply to the inductive system

$$(83) \quad (E_{\bullet, \bullet}^{GG}, F^\bullet, W_\bullet, \mathcal{H}_{\bullet, \bullet}^{GG}, \nabla = \delta)$$

It follows that

Proposition 6.4. *The vector bundle $S(E_{\bullet, \bullet}^{GG}) \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is globally generated.*

This result can partially answer the question of generalizing the Siu theorem we mentioned in Section 5. The sheaf $S(E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG})$ is a commutative analogue of sheaf of differential operators. This result is also related to the notion of weak positivity of Viehweg.

A vector bundle E on projective variety X is called weakly positive if for every ample line bundle L on X and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the restriction morphism

$$(84) \quad H^0(X, S^{mn}E \otimes L^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow S^{mn}E \otimes L^{\otimes n}|_U$$

is surjective for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The definition means that after tensoring E by an arbitrary small fraction of an ample line bundle, all sufficiently large symmetric powers are generated by global sections over a dense open subset of X .

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a polarizable variation of Hodge structure on a complement of a divisor D in a smooth projective algebraic variety X . Let M be the corresponding mixed Hodge module. By what we explained above $S(M)$ is weakly positive in the sense we defined above (due to Viehweg). Now the analysis we made for the inductive case also shows that the same is true when M is an inductive MHM. Therefore the inductive system $E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG}$ is Viehweg weak positive.

The combination of proposition 6.4 and the the results obtained by Demailly in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 solves the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture. In fact taking $L = A$ to be ample, Demailly proves the following sharper estimate in [D].

Theorem 6.5. (*J. P. Demailly, [D] theorem 9.46*) *Assume that $\Theta_{V^*} \geq -\gamma \otimes Id_{V^*}$ with a semipositive $(1,1)$ -form γ on X , then setting*

$$(85) \quad L_k = \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{GG}}(1) \otimes \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}(-\frac{1}{kr}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k})A), \quad A \geq 0$$

for $k \geq n$, by using Morse inequalities, we have a lot of sections $H^0(X_{k,m}^{GG}, mL_k)$, $m \gg 1$.

The theorem asserts that the number $k \gg 1$ in Theorem 5.2 can be taken to be any number greater than n . This specially holds when A is ample. Combining 6.4 and 6.5 motivates the following proof of Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture.

Proof of Green-Griffiths-Lang Conjecture:

Regarding the explanation of the question we posted in equation (68) one requires to prove an analogous result to (70). Therefore we may regard the sections of $S(E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG})$ as differential operators on the jet bundles also. Applying the global sections of $S(E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG}) \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)}$ via this identification to that of $H^0(E_{k,m}^{GG}, mL_k)$, $m \gg 1$, $L = A$ provides sections of $H^0(X, mL_k \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)})$,

$$(86) \quad H^0(X, S(E_{\bullet,\bullet}^{GG}) \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)}) \otimes H^0(E_{k,m}^{GG}, mL_k) \rightarrow H^0(X, mL_k \otimes L^{\otimes(n+1)})$$

By the Theorem 5.1 the support of these latter sections contains the entire curve locus in X . One needs to show; that is possible to produce non zero sections via this pairing. But this is clear from (82) , because the zero locus is inside the zero locus of L_k which is strictly included in X . We are done.

REFERENCES

- [BK] G. Berszi, F. Kirwan, A geometric construction for invariant jet differentials, preprint
- [D] J. P. Demailly, Hyperbolic algebraic varieties and holomorphic differential equations, Acta Math. Vietnam, 37(4) 441-512, 2012
- [GG] M. Green, P. Griffiths, Two applications of algebraic geometry to entire holomorphic mappings, The Chern Symposium 1979. (Proc. Intern. Sympos., Berkeley, California, 1979) 41-74, Springer, New York, 1980.
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry, Springer publishing, 2003
- [LS] Y. Laurent, P. Shapira, Images inverses des modules différentiels, Compositio Mathematica 61:229-251(1987)
- [M] J. Merker, low pole order frameson vertical jets of the universal hypersurface, Ann. Institut Fourier (Grenoble), 59, 2009, 861-933
- [P] M. Popa, Kodaira-Saito vanishing and its applications, preprint 2016
- [SA] M. Saito, Mixed Hodge modules, Pub. RIMS, Kyoto University, 26 (1990), 221-333
- [S] Y. T. Siu, Hyperbolicity in complex geometry, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer, Berlin, 2004, 543-566

INSTITUT FOURIER, UNIVERSITE GRENOBLE ALPES, FRANCE

,

E-mail address: mrahmati@cimat.mx